ORDINANCE 87 - 66

WITHDRAWN by PETITIONER 8/19/81

To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps, Dated June 7, 1978

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has considered this case, RS/PUD-38-81, and recommended that the petitioner, Richard Lee Hartung be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 that the zoning be changed from RE (Residential Estate) to RS (Single Dwelling Residential) for property located at the north end of Northwoods Lane more particularly described as follows:

Part of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section Seventeen (17), Township Nine (9) North, Range One (1) West, in Monroe County, Indiana, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Beginning on the West line of the said half quarter section, One Thousand Sixty and Eleven hundredths (1060.11) feet South Zero (00) degrees Zero (00) minutes Six (06) seconds West from the Northwest corner of the said half quarter section; thence South Zero (00) degrees Zero (00) minutes Six (06) seconds West along the West line of the said half quarter section One Thousand Five Hundred Nineteen and One hundredths (1519.01) feet to a point Seventy-five (75) feet North from the Southwest corner of the said half quarter section; thence South Sixtyseven (67) degrees Twenty-eight (28) minutes Fifty-two (52) seconds East Two Bundred and Twenty-six hundredths (200.26) feet to the South line of the said half quarter section at a point One Hundred Eightyfive (185.00) feet East from the Southwest corner of the said half quarter section; thence South Eighty-nine (89) degrees Twenty-eight (28) minutes Twenty-nine (29) seconds East along the South line of the said half quarter section Three Hundred Ten and Ninety-seven hundredths (310.97) feet to the Southwest corner of a Thirty-two and Eighty hundredths (32.80) acre tract conveyed to "THE TRUSTEES OF INDIANA UNIVERSITY"; thence Along the West line of said Thirty-two and Eighty hundredths (32.80) acre tract North Two (02) degrees Fifty-eight (58) minutes Thirty-six (36) seconds West One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty-one and Sixty-nine hundredths (1251.69) feet; thence along the Westerly line of the said Thirty-two and Eighty hundredths (32.80) acre tract North Fifty One (51) degrees One (01) minutes Fourteen (14) seconds West Five Hundred Fifty-four and Thirty hundredths (544.30) feet to the place of beginning, containing Fourteen and Eight hundred Thirty-eight thousandths (14.838) acres, more or

Less.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM Lots number One (1), Two (2), Three (3), Four (4), Eighteen (18), Nineteen (19), Twenty (20) and Twenty-one (21) in NORTHWOODS FIRST ADDITION, being a part of the East half of the Northeast quarter of Section Seventeen (17), Township Nine (9) North, Range One (1) West in Monroe County, Indiana, as shown by the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book No. 5 at pages 97-97A in the office of the Recorder of Monroe County, Indiana.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved in the alternative and that the above designated property be designated a Planned Unit Development.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED BY THE Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this day of , 1981.

Alfred Towell, President
Bloomington Common Council

Nora M. Connors, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this day of , 1981.

Nora M. Connors, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this

day of

, 1981.

Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This ordinance rezones 10 vacant acres north of Northwoods Lane from RE to RS and designates the site PUD for 1 single residence and 34 attached condominiums in groups of 2 to 6 units. The petitioner is Richard Hartung.

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION++++

	8, I hereby certify that the attached	
Ordinance Number 81-66, is a true an	nd complete copy of Plan Commission Case	
Number ZO/PUD-38-81 , which was given	n a recommendation of APPROVED	
	stentions: 0, by the Bloomington City Plan	
Commission on June 22,		
,	- net 2 wide tibe	
Date: $6/24$, 1981	Planuing Director	
bate. Of the state	Planning Director Tim Mueller, Director Planning Department	
	. //	
Received by the Common Council Office		
	Inell Count	
	Nora M./Connors, City Clerk	
FISCAL	L IMPACT STATEMENT	-
Appropriation Ordinance #	Ordinance # . Resolution #	
Type of Legislation:		
Appropriation End of Pro Budget Transfer New Progra	rogram Penal Ordinance Grant Approval	
Salary Change Bonding	Administrative Change	
Zoning Change Investment New Fees Annexation		
If the legislation directly affects	s City funds, the following must be completed by th	ıe
City Controller:		
Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure	Emergency	
Unforeseen Need	Other	
Funds Affected by Request:		
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1	\$	
Revenue to Date		
Boughin Evhorted tar Rest at Year		
Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date		
Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-	-)	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance	-) \$ \$	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-		
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-	\$	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/- Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major in lity or revenues? Yes No	\$ Signature of Controller impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal lia X	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/- Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major i lity or revenues? Yes No If the legislation will not have a your conclusion.	\$ Signature of Controller impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal lia X n major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason f	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/- Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major if lity or revenues? Yes No If the legislation will not have a your conclusion. Site is not contiguous and factors are expected.	\$ Signature of Controller impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal lia X	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/- Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major if lity or revenues? Yes No If the legislation will not have a your conclusion. Site is not contiguous and factors are expected.	Signature of Controller impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal lia x a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason f far from corporate limits. No capital costs An important condition of approval relates	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/- Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major i lity or revenues? Yes No If the legislation will not have a your conclusion. Site is not contiguous and fa to the Public are expected. to possible utility expenses	Signature of Controller impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal lia major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason f far from corporate limits. No capital costs An important condition of approval relates if the north plant is closed.	or
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/- Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major if lity or revenues? Yes No If the legislation will not have a your conclusion. Site is not contiguous and facto the Public are expected. to possible utility expenses If the legislation will have a major i	Signature of Controller impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal lia x a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason f far from corporate limits. No capital costs An important condition of approval relates	or

Agency Submitting logislation: PLANNING DATE: